

#### **GHENT UNIVERSITY, PLANTS & CROPS**

# **NONDESTRUCTIVE OPTICAL CROP PHENOTYPING TO IMPROVE**

## **NITROGEN FERTILIZATION IN FIELD-GROWN ORNAMENTALS**

#### Nitrogen (N) nutrition

#### $\circ$ Sub-optimal: plant quality $\searrow$ (height, branching, leaf colour)

• Supra-optimal:

- environmental impact ↗ (nitrate leaching)

#### <u>Today</u>

- Excessive application of N due to unknown uptake levels: generally high levels of residual nitrogen
- Destructive leaf analysis: expensive + time consuming
- Sensors based on the optical properties of chlorophyll to

#### **Tomorrow**?

- Monitor plant dry matter yield and N uptake to optimize N fertilization rate
- Use non-destructive crop sensors as easy & quick decision supporting tools



-2016-2017

 $R^2 = 0.69$ 

 $R^2 = 0.28$ 

 $R^2 = 0.56$ 

 $R^2 = 0.56$ 

 $R^2 = 0.76$ 

30

10

**SPAD** 

**SPAD** 

Acer

Prunus

50

Acer

Prunus

30

Ligustrum

Tilia

50

Ligustrum

Tilia

70

#### Some results: Leaf level



After: Basyouni R. (2017)

Some results: Canopy level

### **Conclusions:**

- Sensor-plant relations are plant -& cultivar specific.
- Dualex: sometimes better correlated \_ with N than SPAD.
- SPAD vs. N% is LMA-dependent for some species.
- NDVI is useful for predicting biomass, but not for N% in most experimental species.





Bracke, J. (1, 2, 3), Adriaenssens, S. (4), Elsen, A. (3), Vandendriessche, H. (2, 3), Van Labeke, MC. (1); (1) Ghent University, Department of Plants and Crops, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent, Belgium, (2) KU Leuven, Department of Biosystems, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Leuven, Belgium, (3) Soil Service of Belgium, Leuven, Belgium, (4) PCS Ornamental Plant Research, Destelbergen, Belgium; (J.Bracke@UGent.be).